Exploring gender issues and men's vulnerability to HIV/AIDS in sub-Saharan Africa

Ogochukwu Nzewi

Policy brief 56

Policy Brief 56

February 2009

Published by the Centre for Policy Studies, an independent research institution, incorporated as an association not for gain under Section 21 of the Companies Act.

Centre for Policy Studies
6 Sturdee Avenue
Rosebank
Johannesburg, South Africa
Email: portia@cps.org.za

P O Box 1933
Parklands
Johannesburg, 2121

Tel (011) 442-2666
Fax (011) 442-2677

www.cps.org.za

INTRODUCTION

This paper examines what seems to be bias in gender-based HIV/AIDS policy approaches and strategies in many countries in sub-Saharan Africa, which tend to marginalise men as potential targets for intervention strategies aimed at behavioural change. Public policy discourses on the HIV/AIDS pandemic usually perceive men as the primary purveyors of the virus, mainly due to their sexually risky and promiscuous behaviour. Women are primarily seen as the victims of this behaviour of men. As a result, women tend to be the primary subjects of such strategies. In other words, policy strategies tend to focus greater attention on the victims – women – than men. MacBride (2004) points out that among the reasons for women's greater vulnerability is their "greater biological susceptibility to transmission than men, gender inequalities and transactional sex, where impoverished women, often starting in their teens, sell sex in order to provide for themselves and their families."

HIV/AIDS policies and behavioural intervention strategies should strive to increase the number of men brought into the ambit of these efforts.

This paper argues that such strategies are inherently flawed and so limit the potential effect of efforts to combat the spread of HIV/AIDS in sub-Saharan Africa. HIV/AIDS policies and behavioural intervention strategies should strive to increase the number of men brought into the ambit of these efforts. The paper will not attempt to delve into issues related to HIV/AIDS infections and risks in same sex relationships.

Gender bias in HIV/AIDS interventions

A gendered response explores differences between men and women within a particular human endeavour, be it in the social, political or cultural fields. It makes assumptions based on these differences, using these assumptions to best position the issues of development within the various gender structures. Shirin (2002) argues that the gendered arena examines the position of women and men in the ladder of social, political and cultural interaction, their access to resources, and how they mobilise within their various spaces. The United Nations Economic and Social Council (UN ECOSOC) considers gender mainstreaming to be a strategic tool in achieving gender equality. ECOSOC defines 'gender mainstreaming' as "the process of assessing the implication for women and men of a planned action, including legislation, policies or programmes in any area and at all levels" (UNESCO 2003:18). Consequently, "a gender-based response to HIV/AIDS and STDs focuses on how different social expectations, roles, status and the economic power of men and women affect and are affected by the epidemic" (KIT and SAfAIDS; 1998:3).

While the characterisations of gender-based responses and approaches to HIV/AIDS interventions seem to embrace the notion of equality between both male and female experiences, in practice women appear to have a higher priority than men, and are therefore the central recipients of the gender-based approaches to the mainstreaming of HIV/AIDS (Boserup 1970; Molyneux 1985; Rayah and Maposhere 2003). This woman-focused approach has motivated authors like Kisoon, Caesar and Jithoo (2002) to suggest that there is bias in the fact that greater attention has been placed on the experiences of women in respect of HIV/AIDS than on men, even though, as Walker et al (2004) notes, men are, and continue to be, responsible for the social behaviour patterns central to the spread of HIV/AIDS epidemic in the continent, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa.

While the characterisations of gender-based responses and approaches to HIV/AIDS interventions seem to embrace the notion of equality between both male and female experiences, in practice women appear to have a higher priority than men.

This paper posits that there is a fundamental flaw in applying a gender-based approach to HIV/AIDS in sub-Saharan Africa. To understand the depth of this, Sayagues (2003:4) states that "twenty years into the pandemic, the bulk of studies and interventions have centred on women and girls. There is greater understanding of the gender dimensions of HIV/AIDS but little funding and effort has gone into working with the men who interact with women and girls, as partners, husbands, fathers, teachers and so forth." Akeroyd (2004) therefore argues for a new agenda which emphasises and focuses attention on men and their responsibilities. The author points out that ironically, although AIDS prevention strategies aim to alter men's behaviour to minimise the spread of the pandemic, the focus of attention is usually on women.

Some observers and analysts (Barolsky 2003:49; Jackson 2002:366) are beginning to draw attention to the deleterious consequences of this apparent gender bias which, they argue, is leading to the possible alienation and disaffection of men from HIV/AIDS policy interventions and mainstreaming efforts. For instance, Barolsky and Jackson argue that while many continental and even global policy initiatives and campaigns (including the 2000 World AIDS Day Campaign whose theme was 'Men and AIDS-gendered approach'), do attempt to bring the role of men into their ambit, there is still a woefully inadequate focus on this. For instance, even the UNAIDS 2008 global AIDS report does acknowledge the need for programmes based on gender equity with particular attention focused on men and boys (UNAIDS 2008: 64).

However, gender bias remains entrenched in mainstream policy response areas. An example is that UNAIDS (2003) HIV/AIDS and human rights international guidelines stipulate that state action must provide an enabling and supportive environment for women, children and other vulnerable groups. This support is supposed to encourage the establishment of national and local forums to examine the impact of the HIV/AIDS epidemic on women. States are also

encouraged to champion women-centred multi-sectoral initiatives (involving the state and civil society) which should include primary health services, counselling and information on prevention, and minimising the risk of transmission in childbirth. In addition, the UNAIDS HIV/AIDS human rights international guidelines contain specific human rights stipulations which pay greater attention to women (UNAIDS 2003). In many sub-Saharan African countries gender bias in national HIV/AIDS policies is entrenched in HIV/AIDS policies, plans and strategies. This is referred to in UNAIDS (2008:1) latest report on policies related to women's vulnerability to HIV, which indicated that sub-Saharan Africa is the most inclined to put in place policies focusing mainly on women to combat their vulnerability.

General policies, programmes and strategies to combat HIV/AIDS in sub Saharan Africa appear to concentrate on women virtually excluding men.

Malawi's national HIV/AIDS policy is clearly typical. It focuses on women and girls as vulnerable groups and details a comprehensive response strategy that targets women's rights in the home and the workplace (Republic of Malawi 2003). Even in the case of South Africa, the country's strategic and comprehensive HIV/AIDS plan focuses greater attention on women and children, especially in terms of key goals, such as reducing mother-to-child transmission, and developing and expanding care of children and orphans (http://www.doh.gov.za/aids/). Furthermore, South Africa's national indicators for determining success or failure in its HIV/AIDS/STD strategic plan are largely female-oriented. For instance, the first indicator which is the 'general trend of the epidemic' is measured in terms of the prevalence of HIV in antenatal visits; another indicator, 'youth', is measured in terms of the same information on antenatal visits. A third indicator, 'teenage pregnancy prevention', is measured by the number of sexually active women using condoms and, finally, another female-oriented indicator is 'abuse of women', measured by the number of reported rape cases (RSA, DOH 2000:17). In Nigeria, one of the key HIV/AIDS strategies is to promote safe sexual behaviour among women by empowering them through education and legislation to protect themselves against unsafe sex (FGN 2003: 18). The point being made here is, again, that general policies, programmes and strategies to combat HIV/AIDS in sub-Saharan Africa appear to concentrate on women, virtually excluding men, even though the latter play a central role in the spread of the pandemic.

Although many of the countries in sub-Saharan Africa also have national HIV/AIDS policy provisions which target other vulnerable groups, such as the youth (FGN 2003: 23; RSA/DOH 2000: 25), clearly there is a neglect or absence of targeted policy responses to bring men and boys firmly into the ambit of all these policies and programmes.

Consequences of gender-based approaches

The gender-based approach to the mainstreaming of HIV/AIDS appears to have achieved significant results in many countries in sub-Saharan Africa. One of these consequences is greater general awareness and knowledge of the risks of HIV/AIDS among women. This has also succeeded in fostering greater willingness among women to adopt-risk reducing activities, including getting tested for the virus, which is vital for the timely administering of the necessary medical treatments to reduce the incidence of mother-to-child-transmission. Women are therefore generally better armed with lifesaving knowledge and information on the risks of HIV/AIDS than men. In addition, more and more women are acquiring a range of adaptive social skills and behaviour patterns, such as developing and/or joining women-run, dedicated social support groups and networks, and engaging in home-based care activities for their families or communities. These activities have rendered women better prepared socially and psychologically than men in terms of coping with, and adapting to living with, HIV/AIDS. However, the greater focus of attention on women's health and well-being in terms of HIV/AIDS policy interventions has also led to a number of burdensome consequences for women, as outlined below.

Burden of disclosure and negotiation of safer sex

What this means is that the success of the efforts to educate and empower women with vital information and knowledge about HIV/AIDS have also resulted in them becoming not only the bearers of the responsibility to disclose their HIV/AIDS status, but also the bearers of the responsibility to negotiate safer sex

In some **countries**, policies relating to antenatal HIV/AIDS screening have made women the primary bearers of HIV/AIDS status information. This is the case with Malawi's policy of HIV testing without consent for pregnant women (Republic of Malawi 2003). This puts pressure on women and places on them the burdensome responsibility of having to disclose their HIV/AIDS infections to their families and partners, often risking ostracism. Because of this policy practice in Malawi, the fear of abuse or rejection by husbands and families has caused a great deal of reluctance among pregnant women to test for HIV/AIDS (BBC: 2005 http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/africa/4551767.stm). What this means is that the success of the efforts to educate and empower women with vital information and knowledge about HIV/AIDS have also resulted in them becoming not only the bearers of the responsibility to disclose their HIV/AIDS status, but also the bearers of the responsibility to negotiate safer sex as well as to educate their partners on the risks of HIV/AIDS. Such a responsibility is best handled in social and family contexts, where women enjoy equal decision-making powers with their partners and are able to negotiate the usage of protective measures such as condoms, rather than in a situation, prevailing in many sub-Saharan countries, where women are still vulnerable to various forms of abuse and sexual violence by their partners, families, and society in general. For many

women in sub-Saharan Africa, such an enormous responsibility often becomes unbearable.

The burden of care and social support

Due to their greater exposure to HIV/AIDS interventions and information dissemination, combined with their traditional social roles as caregivers in their families, women have also become central to caregiving for those infected and affected by the AIDS pandemic (Cabrera et al 1996). According to Barolsky (2003: 40), "instead of HIV compelling a re-examination of gender roles, the burden is being displaced vertically across generations along the female lines." In Uganda's Rakai district of about 40 000 inhabitants, there is a record of about 300-400 various women's support and home-based care groups (Cabrera et al 1996). In South Africa, women are more likely to be seen forming support groups and running home-based care groups. A report by the South African Department of health (http://www.doh.gov.za/aids/) shows that in March 2003, a total of 466 home/community-based care programmes were in place, with 9 553 volunteers and 370 172 people accessing the services regularly, most of them women. All this serves to reinforce the idea that women have not only become resourceful in dealing with HIV/AIDS, but have also come to shoulder the burden of responsibility for supporting their families and communities in coping with the pandemic, often with extremely limited resources and support from their governments.

Serving as 'guinea pigs' for information-gathering activities

While the latest indications show a stabilising of national epidemics and prevalence in sub-Saharan Africa, HIV/AIDS prevalence still tends to reflect a higher incidence among females than males

Women are the sources of HIV/AIDS policy relevant data. South Africa is a case in point, where vital HIV/AIDS policy indicators depend on statistical data gathered mainly from women, particularly from antenatal HIV/AIDS tests, reported rape cases, reported teenage pregnancies and useage of condoms. While the latest indications show a stabilising of national epidemics and prevalence in sub-Saharan Africa (UNAIDS 2008: 5), HIV/AIDS prevalence still tends to reflect a higher incidence among females than males, which might result from many factors, including the greater focus of policy action on women. The possible unintended consequence of this greater focus on women to generate policy-relevant HIV/AIDS statistical data is that men become less willing – or assume that it is not necessary – to share the responsibilities and burdens of involvement in efforts to generate such vital policy-relevant data. It is critical for policy researchers and policy makers to understand the extent to which this inequity could be explained by referring to the seeming gender bias in HIV/AIDS mainstreaming intervention strategies. Behavioural change intervention strategies in sub-Saharan Africa continue to be based on the premise that men are the primary spreaders of the virus, because of their

promiscuous behaviour, without necessarily pursuing relevant and effective strategies to target them and place them at the centre of HIV/AIDS mainstreaming efforts in sub-Saharan Africa.

Understanding women's vulnerability

UNAIDS considers that vulnerability results from a range of factors outside the control of the individual, which reduce the ability of individuals and communities to avoid HIV risk (UNAIDS 2008). The social constructions of gender roles and power relations play a significant role in many countries in Africa, as they do in other countries around the world, and these have important implications, not only for domestic policies but also for HIV /AIDS intervention strategies in sub-Saharan Africa. In sub-Saharan Africa, gender-based intervention strategies have tended to put women at the centre of political, social and economic policy agendas as part of broader efforts to combat the pandemic. In the course of developing appropriate national and continental HIV/AIDS intervention policies, women have been prioritised, especially on the basis of a wide range of evidence, including epidemiological, which illustrate beyond doubt the disparity between women and men in terms of vulnerability to HIV/AIDS infection. This is understandable and beyond debate, because of a number of critical factors that can be grouped into a number of categories: physiological, socio-cultural, economic and financial factors.

In terms of the physiological dimensions of the human body, the physiological aspects of women's bodies render them more susceptible to HIV/AIDS infections than men. MacBride (2004) regards this as women's "greater biological susceptibility to transmission than men". For instance, a report by the United Nations (UNAIDS 1998a: 4) points to the fact that "the physiological differences in the genital tract directly contribute to women running a higher risk of acquiring HIV infection and STDs than men." The report goes on to add that "in women, many STDs are asymptomatic, so that many women are unaware that they need to seek care" (UNAIDS 1998a:4). However, the more critical factors that render women more vulnerable to infections than men fall within the other categories identified above – socio-cultural, economic and financial – and these are well documented in literature and numerous reports produced by a wide range of organisations internationally and on the African continent. For instance, Gupta (2000) provides an outline of a range of socio-cultural, economic and financial circumstances shaping women's experiences in a number of countries in Africa, thus rendering them more vulnerable to infections.

She argues that dominant cultural beliefs in many countries on the continent dictate that 'good' women are ignorant and less informed about sex in general, as they are usually assumed to be more circumscribed than men in their sexual

In the course of developing appropriate national and continental HIV/AIDS intervention policies, women have been prioritised, especially on the basis of a wide range of evidence, including epidemiological, which illustrate beyond doubt the disparity between women and men in terms of vulnerability to HIV/AIDS infection

behaviour and experiences. Consequently, they are not expected to be knowledgeable about the 'risks reduction' behavioural aspects of their sexual interactions with men. As a result, well-informed women tend to feign ignorance of this knowledge and usually adopt passive attitudes during sexual interactions, which prevent them from adopting proactive attitudes to negotiating safe sex (Gupta 2000:3). Gupta lists a number of other cultural norms and traditions in many societies on the continent that increase women's vulnerability to infections. For instance, widespread traditional normative expectations or assumptions of young girls' virginity, which prevents them from acquiring information about sex, lest they are assumed to be sexually active; the myth among men in many societies on the continent that sexual intercourse with virgins cleanses HIV; strong norms and traditions of female sexual passivity combined with economic dependence on men, which reduces their ability to negotiate safe sex options; and violence against women.

In describing the situation of women in the United States, which is not too dissimilar to the experiences of women in Africa, MacBride (2004) adds to this list of factors rendering women more vulnerable to infections. She points to gender inequalities during transactional sex, especially where impoverished young and unemployed women sell sex in order to support their families, and adultery among husbands, which render safe sex messages (ie 'abstain, be faithful and use condoms') ineffective, particularly within the context of marriages. MacBride also refers to widespread cultural taboos against the use of condoms in many societies in the Third World, a situation that is prevalent in many African countries.

A small but increasing number of studies on the continent are beginning to focus greater attention on the situation of men, especially in terms of the nature of their socialisation into specific roles and attitudes, and the way these have contributed to their vulnerability to HIV/AIDS infections.

Finally, UNAID also points to how even the advancement in prevention technology, in the form of female condoms, has to face the problem of gender-based cultural obstacles. The report points out that for many cultures "condoms are associated with illicit sex and STDs, and women who attempt to introduce them into a relationship encounter problems such as being perceived as unfaithful or 'over-prepared'" (UNAIDS 1998a: 9).

HIV/AIDS and men's vulnerability

As already argued, the greater vulnerability of women to HIV/AIDS infections has influenced and shaped policy responses in such a way that women have become the primary targets of national and continental policy actions. Men, on the other hand, are not as central to intervention strategies, and while behavioural change messages do focus some attention on men, these do so predominantly in the context of men being identified as the 'perpetrators' (spreaders of the virus) and culprits, rather than as vulnerable groups, even if less so than women.

However, a small but increasing number of studies (see Peacock and Levack: 2004; Mutonyi and Greig, 2002; Gupta, 2000; Cornell 2003) on the continent are beginning to focus greater attention on the situation of men, especially in terms of the nature of their socialisation into specific roles and attitudes, and the way these have contributed to their vulnerability to HIV/AIDS infections. These studies are seeking ways to understand the complexity of men's social and cultural realities in order to contribute comprehensive behavioural intervention strategies and policies to address the situation of men in sub-Saharan Africa, particularly with regard to issues of men's sexual behaviour and the social and cultural norms and traditions that underpin these persisting behavioural patterns. For instance, Peacock and Levack's research into the Men as Partners Program in 2004, showed that the work of this local NGO in collaboration with other civil society networks make men the central target of workshops and programmes aimed at "changing knowledge, attitudes and behaviour; mobilising men to take action in their own communities" (Peacock and Levak 2004: 175). Also, South Africa's 2007-2011 strategic plan calls for "a comprehensive package that promotes male sexual health" (http://www.tac.org.za/documents/NSP-Draft10-2007-2011.pdf). Also, UNAIDS's latest report calls for a scale-up of programmes based on gender equity, with particular attention focused on men and boys (UNAIDS 2008: 64). Zambia's growing emphasis on the situation of men and boys is evidenced by the growth of male caregivers in Zambia's Kara Counselling Centre which works with local chiefs and church elders (Sayagues 2003).

Current dominant policy paradigms, not only in literature but also in public debates, about the role of men in society in general and in the spread of the HIV/AIDS pandemic in particular, serve as a critical obstacle in our ability to perceive men as a possible vulnerable group

Despite these developments, current dominant policy paradigms, not only in literature but also in public debates, about the role of men in society in general and in the spread of the HIV/AIDS pandemic in particular, serve as a critical obstacle in our ability to perceive men as a possible vulnerable group. While it is essential and justifiable that current policies and programmes proceed from the idea that men are crucial in the spread of the pandemic, strategically this should be reflected in terms of behavioural change intervention strategies and programmes specifically targeted at them as a group. Current policy responses and intervention strategies are to some extent ambivalent in this regard, and therefore potentially counterproductive, as they tend to neglect the importance of dealing with men as a vulnerable group rather than only perpetrators. In other words, the fact that men are also vulnerable to infections, due to a number of important factors outlined below, needs to be made an integral part of policy responses to the pandemic. That this is not is an important aspect of current policy responses in many countries in sub-Saharan Africa, whether due to deliberate neglect or not, tends to lead to HIV/AIDS intervention strategies that gloss over the realities of African men, constructing their behaviour in a

manner that de-contextualises from their social, cultural, economic and even historical circumstances.

For purposes of analysis, the subsections that follow will explore a number of factors that render men vulnerable to HIV/AIDS infection. These factors will be categorised into three groups: socio-cultural, economic and financial. Literature does also make reference to political and historical factors that contribute to men's vulnerability to HIV/AIDS infections.

Socio-cultural factors leading to men's vulnerability

Before any strategy aimed specifically at the situation of men in sub-Saharan Africa could be formulated and put in place, a critical paradigm shift will be necessary. Policy makers and strategists would have to begin perceiving men and boys as more than just the culprits and perpetrators in spreading HIV/AIDS. They would have to also be perceived and treated as a vulnerable group – vulnerable to HIV/AIDS infections. For instance, a UNAIDS report (1999) identifies traditional norms of masculinity prevalent in many sub-Saharan countries as problematic. In terms of these norms, men are expected to be well informed, knowledgeable, and experienced in matters of sex, which in turn prevents them from admitting their ignorance about matters of sex and risky sexual behaviour, thus preventing them from seeking help and information on how to avoid risky sexual behaviour. Gupta et al (1994) point to traditional beliefs in many societies which encourage men to have multiple partners as a sign of manhood, which in turn undermines messages of abstinence and faithfulness to change behaviour. This places men and boys at risk of infection. A UNAIDS report (1999) also draws attention to the traditional norms of masculinity in many countries, in terms of which sexual domination of women, combined with sexual aggression and sometimes coercion and violence, are considered vital aspects of men's sense of power and control. Richter and Morrels's edited work, *Baba: men and fatherhood in South Africa,* gives some insight into men's feelings of disempowerment in their experiences of poverty, incarceration and unemployment in South Africa (Richter and Morrel:2006). These factors, combined with drug and alcohol abuse, invariably place men and boys in situations where they are exposed, and therefore rendered extremely vulnerable, to HIV/AIDS infection.

Economic and political factors leading to men's vulnerability

Bringing men effectively into mainstream HIV/AIDS policy responses and intervention strategies must be accompanied by a paradigm shift that constructs a different role for men as more than mere spreaders of the pandemic. Men also have to be viewed as partners in the fight against HIV/AIDS. But more than that,

Bringing men effectively into mainstream HIV/AIDS policy responses and intervention strategies must be accompanied by a paradigm shift that constructs a different role for men as more than mere spreaders of the pandemic

it is critical that men are also seen as a vulnerable group. As Oppong and Kalipeni (2004) argue, this will entail targeting men's behavioural change within the wider context of the macro-economic and political environment, in addition to the socio-cultural factors as outlined in the previous subsection.

The broader negative macro-economic context in sub-Saharan Africa has created widespread problems of economic deprivation, unemployment and inequality in many countries. This serves as a context and backdrop to what risky sexual behavioural patterns among men and boys have developed, and therefore need to be understood by policy makers. In particular, the devastating economic collapse in Zimbabwe and the grinding poverty in other countries – such as Lesotho, Swaziland, Malawi, Zambia and Mozambique – and war and conflict in several other countries including the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), have led to the widespread phenomenon of economic and political migration in sub-Saharan Africa. A study on the Zimbabwean male psyche with respect to reproductive health, HIV/AIDS and gender issues, suggests that there is a relationship between levels of education and income bracket and men's perceptions of sexual roles, pointing to negative sexual convictions which may harm women (Chiroro et al 2002).

In South Africa, the history of legalised racial inequality, enforced economic deprivation, forced removals of whole communities from economic nodes and the resultant internal economic migration, has made the disparity of wealth between the rich and poor in South Africa one of the highest in the world. For instance, in 1993 the richest 10 per cent of the population in South Africa received 47.3 per cent of the national income, while the poorest 40 per cent received only 9.1 per cent. The internal economic migration and social displacement of the economically active population in South Africa, exacerbated by rapid levels of urbanisation and high rural-to-urban migration, have also created conditions that are highly conducive to the ceaseless spread of HIV/AIDS. While no research has conclusively linked gender violence in post-apartheid South Africa to the emergence and promotion of women's rights and emancipation through legislation and policy, it is suggested that perceptions among men of their traditional roles being undermined or disrespected by women, may lead to negative reactions and mistreatment of women.

The impact of these broader macro-economic and political factors (including conflict and war) has been to compel men and women in many countries in sub-Saharan Africa to leave their families and communities to seek employment opportunities or safety in the cities and/or other countries. The resultant refugee populations or migration across towns, cities, regions and the continent is the creation of migrant populations of men and women, many of whom have been socially displaced from their families and social support networks, leaving

them exposed to risky social behaviours, especially in cases where women and girls turn to sex work to support themselves (UNAIDS1997; UNAIDS 1998b). In addition, men in sub-Saharan Africa are usually engaged in a range of highly mobile (and sometimes transitory) economic activities, such as trucking or mining. The UNAIDS best practice collection on HIV (UNAID 1998b), points out the vulnerability of the men in the military, and identifies vulnerability factors such as military risk-taking culture and attitudes to civilian populations which often expose them to risky sexual behaviour, thus rendering them vulnerable to infections.

CONCLUSION: TOWARDS A GENDER-BALANCED APPROACH

Policy and programme interventions in many countries in sub-Saharan Africa seem to show a pattern which prioritises women over men, thus allowing men to fall through the cracks. Understandably, the premise from which policy makers and activists in this field proceed is to focus greater attention on women and girls, as they are perceived to be the most vulnerable group.

This paper has argued for the need to revisit the gender approach to HIV/AIDS as it presently exists. The current approach, which targets women's rights, empowerment and the mainstreaming of women's issues into HIV/AIDS policy and legislation, should be balanced by an equally aggressive action that directly targets issues of concern for men, especially their health, rights, empowerment and education, particularly in the context of the pandemic. It is therefore necessary for policy research to problematise current, women-focused HIV/AIDS policy approaches that tend to marginalise and neglect the situation of men, especially as they are the main spreaders of HIV/AIDS. It is critical for policy responses to the pandemic to ensure that men become as much the focus and targets of policy responses as women, if not more so, given the important role that they play in the spread of the disease.

The need to prioritise the situation of men in sub-Saharan Africa will have to address issues such as improving men's access to health infrastructure and support services relating to men's reproductive health. Additionally, it will be important to shift interventions towards long-term and viable strategies that focus attention on factors that perpetuate the spread of HIV – for instance by addressing poverty and unemployment, and internal and regional migration, with particular emphasis on displaced men and women. The need to ensure universal education for boys and girls, as well as building and upgrading the economic infrastructure is critical. It is also important to encourage and create an environment for debate and dialogue, particularly within men's social networks, to bring communities together to mobilise through strong male community structures and opinion groups. This will entail among other things,

extending HIV/AIDS experiences and research to incorporate men's stories, as well as projects, programmes and campaigns that are mainly targeted at men. Finally, in the long term a continuous commitment by the state and other stakeholders, such as community-based organisations, traditional leadership structures and civil society, to addressing poverty reduction, education and unemployment, will provide a more holistic and integrated strategy that will help stem the tide of HIV/AIDS in sub-Saharan Africa.

REFERENCES

Akeroyd, A.V. 2004. Coercion, constraints and 'cultural entrapments': a further look at gendered and occupational factors pertinent to the transmission of HIV in Africa, in

Kalipeni E., S. Craddock, J.R. Oppong, J.A. Ghosh (eds), *HIV and AIDS in Africa beyond epidemiology*. Blackwell Publishing Ltd: Australia.

Barolsky, V. 2003, *Over extended: AIDS review 2003*. Centre for the study of AIDS, Pretoria.

BBC. 2005. *Mums-to-be shun HIV tests*
http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/africa/4551767.stm, viewed 8 September 2008.

Boserup, E. 1970 *Women's role in Economic Development*. St Martin's Press, New York.

Cabrera, C., D. Pitt, F. Staugard (eds). 1996. *AIDS and the grassroots problems: challenges and opportunities*. Ipelegeng Publishers, Gaborone, Goteborg and Nairobi.

Chiroro, P., A. Mashu and W. Muhwava. 2002. *The Zimbabwean male psyche with respect to reproductive health, HIV, AIDS and gender issues,*, Centre for Applied Psychology, University of Zimbabwe, Zimbabwe.

Cornell, R.W. 2003. *The role of men and boys in achieving gender equality*. United Nations Division for the Advancement of Women (DAW) in collaboration with International Labour Organization (ILO) Joint United Nations Programmes on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS); United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) Expert Group Meeting on 'The role of men and boys in achieving gender equality', 21-24 October 2003, Brasilia, Brazil.
http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/egm/men-boys2003/Connell-bp.pdf;
viewed 10 November 2008.

Federal Government of Nigeria. 2003. *National policy on HIV/AIDS*. Federal Government of Nigeria, Abuja.

Gupta, R. & E. Weiss. 1998. *Bridging the gap: addressing gender and sexuality in HIV prevention*. Washington DC: International Centre for Women.

Gupta, R. 2000. *Gender, sexuality and HIV/AIDS: the what, the why and the how*. (Plenary Address 13th International AIDS Conference, Durban, South Africa, 12 July).

Institute of Democracy in South Africa (IDASA). 2003 *AIDS and governance in Southern Africa: emerging theories and perspectives (a report on the Institute of Democracy in South Africa/UNDP regional governance and AIDS forum*. Pretoria: IDASA.

Jackson, H. 2002. *AIDS Africa: continent in crisis.* Zimbabwe: Southern Africa. HIV/AIDS Information Dissemination Service (SAfAIDS) Harare.

Kisoon C, M. Caesar and T. Jithoo. 2002. Who's right? AIDS review 2002, Centre for the study of AIDS, Pretoria.

KIT and SAfAIDS. 1998. *Facing the challenges of HIV, AIDS, STDS: a gender-based response*. Amsterdam and Harare: Royal Tropical Institute (KIT) and SAfAIDS.

MacBride, J. 2004. World AIDS day highlights plight of women, (http://dailyuw.com/2004/12/2/world-aids-day-highlights-plight-of-women/), viewed 20 November 2008.

Miewa, A., S. Mutonyi and A. Greig. 2002. *HIV/AIDS and men in Zambia – addressing young men's vulnerability* (International Conference on AIDS, 7-12 July.

Molyneux M. 1985. Mobilisation without emancipation? Women interests, state and revolution in Nicaragua. F*eminist studies* XI, no 2.

Oppong, J. R. and E. Kalipeni. 2004. Perceptions and misconceptions of AIDS in Africa, in E. Kalipeni, S. Craddock, J.R. Oppong (eds). *HIV and AIDS in Africa beyond epidemiology*, Blackwell Publishing Ltd: Australia.

Peacock, D and A. Levack. 2004. The men as partners program in South Africa: Reaching men to end gender- based violence and promote sexual and reproductive health.

Rayah F and C. Maposhere. 2003. Safer sex and reproductive choice: findings from 'positive women: voice and choices' in Zimbabwe. *Reproductive Health Matters*. Volume 11, issue 22, pp 162-174.

Republic of Malawi. 2003 *National HIV/AIDS policy: a call for renewed action,* Office of the President and Cabinet, National AIDS Commission. Viewed 8 September 2008, http://www.aidsmalawi.org.mw/contentdocuments/Malawi%20National%20HIVA IDS%20Policy.pdf

Richter, L. and R. Morrell (eds). 2006. *Baba: Men and fatherhood in South Africa,* Cape Town: Human Sciences Research Council Press.

Rushing, W. A. 1995. *The AIDS epidemic: social dimensions of an infectious disease* Co: Westview Press, Boulder.

Sayagues, M. M. 2003. *Men, HIV and AIDS.* Conference Report. Voluntary Service Overseas – Regional AIDS Initiative of Southern Africa.

Shirin, M. R. 2002. *Gender and the Political Economy of Development: from Nationalism to Globalisation.* Cambridge: Polity Press.

South Africa (Republic). 2000. *HIV/AIDS/STD Strategic plan for South Africa* (2000-2005). Pretoria: Department of Health.

South Africa (Republic). 2003. *Tracking progress on the HIV/AIDS/STDs strategic plan for South Africa, June 2000-March 2003.* http://www.doh.gov.za/aids/ Viewed 8 September 2008.

UNAIDS 1997. *Refugees and AIDS – UNAIDS point of view* Technical Update, April UNAIDS: Geneva.

UNAIDS. 1998a. *Gender and HIV/AIDS:|UNAIDS Technical Update* UNAIDS, Geneva.

UNAIDS. 1998b. AIDS and the military: UNAIDS point of view, *UNAIDS Best Practice Collection, May 1998* (http://www.unaids.org/html/pub/publications/irc-pub05/militarypv_en.pdf)

UNAIDS. 1999. *Gender and HIV/AIDS: taking stock of research and programmes,* UNAIDS: Geneva.

UNAIDS. 2008. *Report on the global AIDS epidemic.* Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS). UNAIDS: Geneva.

UNAIDS. 2003. *HIV/AIDS and human rights: International guidelines: Second International Consultation on HIV/AIDS and Human Rights.* Geneva 23-25 September 1996. United Nations (VSO-RAISA): Geneva/New York.

UNESCO. 2003. *UNESCO's Gender mainstreaming implementation framework (GMIF) for 2002-2007.* France: The Section for Women and Gender Equality of the Bureau of Strategic Planning.

Walker L., R. Graeme and M. Cornell. *Waiting to happen – HIV/AIDS in South Africa, the bigger picture*. Lynne Rienner Publishers: Boulder. Double Storey Books: Cape Town.

Walker, R. 2001. Technology benefiting humanity. *Christian Science Monitor*. Vol 93, Issue 247, p17.