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ABSTRACT

Against the background of the low-intensity conflict that affects its Casamance region, Senegal should pay particular attention to its relations with its southern neighbour, Guinea-Bissau. Since 2000 Senegal has used its democratic legitimacy, diplomatic network and scarce resources to pursue a policy of influence and patronage towards a neighbour weakened by the war of 1998. In return, the Senegalese government has received special support from the Guinea-Bissau armed forces in its fight against secessionist rebel forces in Casamance. Far from being merely a representative of – or channel for – French or Western interests, under the leadership of Abdoulaye Wade Senegal showed its capacity for exercising a policy of influence in the West Africa sub-region and the African continent as a whole, albeit as a consequence of some favourable conditions, and with only limited financial resources.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFP</td>
<td>Agence France-Presse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angop</td>
<td>Angola Press</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APS</td>
<td>Agence de Presse Sénégalaise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFA</td>
<td>Financial Community of Africa (Communauté financière d’Afrique) or African Financial Community (Communauté Financière Africaine); also West African franc (ISO code XOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNT</td>
<td>National Transitional Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPLP</td>
<td>Community of Portuguese Language Countries (Comunidade dos Países de Língua Portuguesa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecowas</td>
<td>Economic Community of West African States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCFA</td>
<td>Franc CFA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fesman</td>
<td>World Festival of Black Arts (Festival Mondial des Arts Nègres)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fling</td>
<td>Liberation Front for the National Independence of Guinea (Frente de Luta pela Independência Nacional da Guiné)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICG</td>
<td>International Crisis Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRIN</td>
<td>Integrated Regional Information Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFDC</td>
<td>Movement of Democratic Forces in the Casamance (Mouvement des forces démocratiques de Casamance)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAIGC</td>
<td>African Party for the Independence of Guinea and Cape Verde (Partido Africano da Independência da Guiné e Cabo Verde)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pana</td>
<td>Pan-African News Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unogbis</td>
<td>UN Peacebuilding Support Office in Guinea-Bissau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unesco</td>
<td>UN Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waemu</td>
<td>West African Economic and Monetary Union</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTRODUCTION

Operation Gabou, was unquestionably one of the most impressive foreign policy initiatives undertaken by an independent Senegal. A military intervention that lasted from June 1998 to March 1999, it required Dakar to deploy more than 2,000 soldiers to its small southern neighbour, Guinea-Bissau. The intention was to help President João Bernardo ('Nino') Vieira stave off an attempted coup d'état by military rebels led by Brigadier-General Ansumane Mané, that resulted in the so-called ‘Seventh of June War’. That Senegal was prepared to make considerable sacrifices to aid its neighbour clearly indicates Guinea-Bissau’s importance to Dakar; an issue closely linked to the low level, apparently insoluble, conflict that for the past 30 years has troubled the Casamance region in the south of Senegal, on its borders with Guinea-Bissau and The Gambia.

Although Senegalese troops withdrew in March 1999 in terms of a ceasefire, the war proper ended only in May 1999 following an agreement on a Guinea-Bissau government of national unity. This was in reality a political defeat for Dakar. Kumba Yala, head of Guinea-Bissau’s Social Renewal Party (PRS) and another of Vieira's opponents, won the subsequent presidential election in February 2000. Soon afterwards a major political upheaval took place in Senegal, with the election as president of the long-standing opposition figure Abdoulaye Wade. This marked the first major shift in Senegal's political direction since independence in 1960. After assuming office on 1 April 2000 Wade quickly took steps to reinforce Senegal’s relationship with Guinea-Bissau; the first diplomatic trip of his presidential term was to Bissau less than a month later. Under his presidency Senegal succeeded in exercising considerable influence over its small southern neighbour without further major interventions on the lines of Operation Gabou; it was the Guinea-Bissau army, not ‘foreign’ forces, that eventually dislodged the most extreme elements of the rebel Movement of Democratic Forces in the Casamance (MFDC) from their bases along the border with Senegal.

It is hard to know exactly why and how such strong ties between Dakar and Bissau were re-established so soon after Operation Gabou, which the population of Guinea-Bissau had met with marked hostility. One must first establish which developments were structural and which circumstantial; which strategic and which tactical; and in what ways events were influenced by the long, tangled histories of the two countries, especially against the background of post-conflict Guinea-Bissau on the one hand and on the other, the fundamental changes in Senegalese politics brought about by the 2000 election. In sum, it is necessary to examine what, if anything, the relationship might demonstrate about the capabilities and limitations of Senegal given that the country is often described either as a diplomatic pawn of France – or of the West in general – or an ultra-opportunistic player that uses foreign policy as an instrument to attract funding and turn public resources to private benefit ('resource diversion') in the interests of developing an independent foreign policy.

Finally, one may consider the way in which the relationship between Bissau and Dakar fits into the wider West African, African and world context. By examining Senegal’s handling of such a major strategic question as it concerns Guinea-Bissau, this paper seeks to clarify the foreign policy of the Wade regime by analysing its tools, ‘style’, connections and developments between 2000 and the end of Wade’s presidency in 2012. It also looks at Senegal’s relative power and influence in its immediate region, as well as in its broader continental environment.
AMBIVALENT NEIGHBOURS

Some historical background is necessary before tracing the complex path of the relationship between Senegal and Guinea-Bissau after 2000, and drawing some general conclusions.

The territories that today constitute Senegal and Guinea-Bissau have a long common history, based on the dynamics of colonialism and linked to the coastal presence of the Portuguese in the 15th century, and consequent involvement with Atlantic trade. Regardless of various colonial realignments, ties between Senegal and the Portuguese colonies of Cape Verde and Guinea – now Guinea-Bissau – remained strong. They were nourished by Senegal's relatively dynamic economy. Immigrants from Portuguese Guinea provided cheap labour for French Senegal, some indeed moving on to metropolitan France. Meanwhile French companies in Senegal tended to reverse that trend by extending their trading into Portuguese Guinea.

When the Republic of Senegal became independent in 1960, various émigré groups from the Guinea and Cape Verde diaspora became involved in a movement to claim their independence; they enjoyed the tacit support of Senegalese president Léopold Sédar Senghor. Eventually the African Party for the Independence of Guinea and Cape Verde (PAIGC), established in 1956 by agronomist Amilcar Cabral, came to the fore. During the 1960s the PAIGC opted for armed struggle, winning support from the Soviet bloc and from former French Guinea (by then the Republic of Guinea), under President Sékou Touré, a Marxist nationalist hostile to Senghor's pro-Western line. Inevitably the relationship between Dakar and the PAIGC deteriorated but Senegal nevertheless tolerated the presence on its territory of numerous Guinea-Bissau refugees, often linked to the PAIGC, and openly supported the somewhat hostile nationalists of the PAIGC, which by 1962 had become part of the Liberation Front for the National Independence of Guinea (Fling).

Portugal recognised the independence of Guinea-Bissau in 1974. Senegal and Guinea-Bissau, however, belonged to opposing Cold War blocs and formal contact between them was limited; but from the 1980s ties became stronger as Bissau opened up its economy and in 1997 joined Senegal in the African Financial Community, thus becoming the first non-Francophone country to adopt the West African CFA franc (XOF) as its currency.

The formation of a separatist movement in southern Senegal at the end of 1982, led by the MFDC, did not bring about a serious deterioration in relations between Dakar and Bissau, despite numerous Senegalese observers dismissing the MFDC as an instrument of malevolent neighbours – Mauritania, The Gambia, or Guinea-Bissau – or even of the contemporary international ‘bad guys’, Iraq, Libya or Liberia. Border skirmishes between Senegalese and Guinea-Bissau forces in 1990 fuelled such suspicions but although the MFDC enjoyed some sympathy among elements of the Guinea-Bissau political elite, the Bissau government did not offer its support. Instead it took an opportunistic approach and inclined toward the most powerful party to he dispute, the Senegalese state, while using the Casamance situation as a bargaining counter. Guinea-Bissau and Senegal often collaborated in security matters and apparently there were ‘extrajudicial arrests, between services’; an MFDC facilitator reported that in 1986 Bissau authorities exchanged an MFDC combatant for four Fling militants based in Senegal and in March 1993 the political head of the MFDC, Father Augustin Diamacoune Senghor, was deported from...
Bissau to Dakar. Bissau received various concessions, including material assistance for its army and diplomatic support for its entry into the franc zone, after the International Court of Justice at The Hague in 1989 and 1991 came down on the side of Senegal over a border dispute. Bissau was likewise involved in ceasefire negotiations between the MFDC and Dakar and hosted the signing of an agreement to end hostilities in Cacheu in April 1992.

It was that same opportunism rather than any attempt to gain political sympathy, that prompted Guineans at all levels to sell (or lease) weapons to the Casamance separatists, but in fact it was arms trafficking that plunged Guinea-Bissau into war in 1998. Under pressure from Senegal and France, which were unhappy at the increasing strength of the MFDC, President Vieira placed the blame for the arms supplies on General Mané, his chief of staff (although it seemed that others close to the presidency were also involved). This act, granted that it was made in difficult economic and political circumstances, had serious consequences. In June 1998, Mané mounted an unsuccessful assassination attempt on Vieira, after which he headed a military revolt, gathering around him a disparate group that included veterans of the war of independence unhappy with their deteriorating conditions, youths in search of a settled and prosperous future, and opponents of Vieira within the PAIGC and the legal opposition. Paradoxically a call for help from Vieira to allies in Dakar and the Guinean capital Conakry strengthened Mané, as experienced MFDC combatants as well as the Guinea-Bissau population generally rallied around him in patriotic enthusiasm, heightened by anger at the pillaging, vandalism and racketeering of the foreign forces coming to Vieira’s aid. After the centre of Bissau was occupied by Mané’s forces in May 1999 the Senegalese embassy was pillaged in a clear indication of the anger aroused by the invasion. Diplomatic offices of Senegal’s strategic ally, France, which maintained (and undoubtedly reinforced) its military co-operation with Senegal throughout the conflict, were also affected. By the end of the Seventh of June War, relations between Senegal and Guinea-Bissau seemed to have suffered long-term damage.

**Forging a New Alliance, 2000–2003**

From late 2000 – only a few months after the end of the Seventh of June War – until 2006, the Guinea-Bissau army began to operate directly against Salif Sadio, a senior figure in the MFDC and a former Bissau ally. Three main attacks took place, each lasting several weeks and causing significant casualties. Sadio was eventually forced to evacuate his base in the administrative region of Ziguinchor on the border between Guinea-Bissau and western Casamance. Such extensive involvement by the army against an adversary of the Senegalese state constituted striking evidence of a new alliance between Dakar and Bissau.

**Presidential alliance and military co-operation**

When Wade made his presidential trip to Bissau in April 2000 the situation was tense. Ever since the end of the Seventh of June War there had been a high level of insecurity on the border between the two countries; MFDC combatants were increasing their operations and Guinea-Bissau soldiers were involved in the theft of livestock in Casamance. Several times during 2000 Casamance villagers closed the border in protest; such action threatened the Guinea-Bissau economy, dependent as it was on those Senegalese points
of passage. Meetings between the two countries increased and coordination measures were established, with the Senegalese providing the necessary radio communications equipment. In August 2000 the newly elected Guinea-Bissau President Yala visited Dakar, where as a gesture of goodwill Wade offered favourable terms for distributing any revenues that might eventually result from petroleum exploration in the common maritime zone.13

This good relationship might perhaps be explained by the somewhat similar backgrounds of Wade and Yala, both of whom were opposition figures elected after long years of struggle. Their personal relationship was underpinned by well-understood common interests and a shared mistrust of Mané and his MFDC allies. In truth, Mané maintained strong ties with the Casamance separatists and rumours circulated of a joint offensive to recapture Ziguinchor by the separatists and the Guinea-Bissau army.

Dakar had little love for Mané and his relationship with Yala also degenerated quickly. Mané had supported an opponent of Yala during the presidential elections and although he agreed to leave in place the existing chief of staff, General Verissimo Correia Seabra, he continued himself to wield considerable influence in Bissau. For his part, Yala was keen to develop his links with the army, favouring recruitment of young loyalists largely from his own ethnic group, the Balantes, and it was when he began to insinuate his followers into the officer corps that his relationship with Mané deteriorated. The contest between the two ended on 30 November 2000 with the death of Mané (who had by then fled to the western region of Biombo) in a shoot-out with the army, and a subsequent purge of those close to him. This episode sealed what was in effect a triple alliance between Dakar, Yala and the military elite.

The Senegalese minister of the interior, General Mamadou Niang, who had been closely involved with the Casamance situation, then began to increase his contacts with Bissau. Dakar directly supported Guinea-Bissau, which at the time was embroiled in a seemingly never-ending budgetary crisis, and Senegal was one of the African countries from which in November 2002 Yala was able to collect millions of dollars to pay government salaries.14 Dakar also directly fostered Yala’s ties with the Guinea-Bissau military, for example by financing the refurbishment of military buildings, opening Dakar military hospitals to Guinea-Bissau officers, and providing military supplies and material – and also, no doubt, money.

The Casamance issue was at the core of this relationship. Ironically, the ties established during the Seventh of June War between the Guinea-Bissau army and the MFDC, and the new relations between Dakar and Bissau, all combined to add an important dimension to the separatist movement: it resulted in the emergence of a ‘moderate’ resistance guerrilla group on the Guinea-Bissau border.

Strange bedfellows in Casamance

Mané’s death, the effect of which was quickly felt in the border areas with Senegal, led to internal tensions in the MFDC ‘southern front’. Bissau became the focal point of what amounted to a tacit coalition between the Senegalese authorities and an MFDC faction in opposition to Salif Sadio, by then head of the southern front.

Sadio, who had been close to Mané, faced opposition within the MFDC15 and Mané’s death offered separatist militants hostile to Sadio the opportunity to take the initiative. They were supported by the new military chiefs in Bissau, who were close to Yala,
mistrusted Sadio and were undoubtedly sensitive to Senegal's broader concerns. In the military offensives that followed, Guinea-Bissau troops co-operated with the MFDC separatists, operating in Senegalese territory with the tacit (or perhaps secret) agreement of Dakar.

In January 2001 Sadio, in a letter addressed to the chairman of the Guinea-Bissau national assembly (parliament), denounced the assault by Guinea-Bissau troops.\textsuperscript{16} Despite official denials of military action\textsuperscript{17} controversy exploded in Bissau. On 17 January 2001 the PAIGC – at that time in parliamentary opposition – asked the government to take on the role of mediator in the Casamance conflict. In response to Senegalese concerns a committee of the national assembly requested the army not to interfere in politics and to respect institutions,\textsuperscript{18} tacit confirmation that the Guinea-Bissau military was acting in a quasi-independent manner in this affair. Fighting continued until the summer of 2001, with Sadio’s opponents consolidating their hold on the MFDC area around what was then known as the ‘Kassolol camp’. In 2002, Guinea-Bissau forces put further pressure on Sadio and his supporters, arresting those of them living in Bissau or expelling them to Senegal, and occupying and destroying refugee villages.

The Kassolol faction of the MFDC was ambiguous from the start. All its members were hostile to Sadio and some would have liked to continue the armed struggle without him, but others, tired of endless war, wanted a negotiated settlement. Over time, some of its leaders went so far as to establish contact with Dakar and receive money from that source.

Taking account of support in the region for the Kassolol faction the Guinea-Bissau army suspended its attacks in Casamance, and as Sadio's position weakened the southern front no longer constituted a hotspot in the Casamance conflict.\textsuperscript{19} Thus, soon after its defeat in the Seventh of June War, Senegal had obtained a major strategic success in Guinea-Bissau acting with relative restraint and prudence and at the lowest possible cost by entering into an alliance with the Guinea-Bissau presidency and army and attacking the Sadio faction and its leader.

A RESILIENT ALLIANCE, 2003–2009

It was indicative of a continued understanding between Dakar and Bissau that this peculiar alliance between the Senegalese government, the fighters of Kassolol camp and the Guinea-Bissau authorities held together reasonably well. It did so in the face of severe political turbulence that affected Guinea-Bissau between 2003 and 2009, spanning the period from the fall of Yala to the death of Vieira before the country regained the relative stability of a functioning presidency.

The fall of Yala: renewed alliance

Yala's poor management, particularly as it affected government salaries, was his downfall and chief of staff Seabra assumed power in a bloodless coup d'état in September 2003. The Senegalese press reported this event with a degree of feeling: the daily newspaper \textit{Wal Fadjri} affirmed that ‘the new masters of Bissau [favour] the MFDC.'\textsuperscript{20} Yala's fall from power did not, however, create tension between Guinea-Bissau and Senegal; on the contrary, it strengthened their ties. Seabra's allies in his \textit{putsch} wanted to avoid another
Senegalese armed intervention and on the day of the coup they called Wade to assure him that their action was not counter to the interests of Senegal. Wade, who at first was not convinced of this, promptly set off for Bissau together with President Olusegun Obasanjo of Nigeria and Ghanaian president John Kufuor (the latter also chairman of the Economic Community of West African States [Ecowas]) to meet with the new ruling junta, Obasanjo’s firm stand reinforced by a threat of intervention from Ecowas. This helped Wade obtain the guarantees he sought. Thereafter the emissaries shuttled between Bissau and Dakar, which then kept a benevolent eye on the ‘transition’ and indeed sheltered the putschists from possible regional and international threats.

The effects of this renewed alliance were felt on the Casamance front. In February 2004 Seabra launched a new offensive against Sadio’s separatists, this time further to the east of the border zone of Fouladou, where he had tried to redeploy his forces. Officially, the operation was aimed at protecting Guinea-Bissau victims from the depredations of the separatists, but Sadio denounced it as a joint assault by the Guinea-Bissau army and the Kassolol faction. On 19 February, Seabra announced the end of the operation and the dismantling of the separatists’ bases. He acknowledged that four Guinea-Bissau soldiers had been killed and 14 injured. By 25 February, however, a military source had noted that fighting had recommenced and announced the capture of another base, the deaths of five separatists and the capture of several prisoners.

The victory of the PAIGC led by Carlos Gomes Júnior in an election in March 2004 and Júnior’s subsequent appointment as prime minister, marked a break in Guinea-Bissau’s drive against Sadio. For the most part the PAIGC had supported the junta and the MFDC against Senegal during the Seventh of June War, and had taken their side against Yala’s support for the Kassolol faction. The death of Seabra at the hands of mutinous soldiers on 6 October 2004, however, worked to Senegal’s advantage. His replacement as chief of staff, General Batista Tagme Na Wai, was not unknown to Dakar: he had headed the 2001 offensive against Sadio and enjoyed a good relationship with Senegal. Indeed certain elements in the military felt that it was his popularity within the army, due in part to support from Senegal, that led to his appointment. Dakar kept a close eye on the situation and on 8 October 2004 Senegalese minister of state Landing Savané (who hailed from Bignona in Casamance) accompanied the executive secretary of Ecowas on a visit to Bissau. By February 2005 Na Wai was in Dakar for the ‘strengthening of military co-operation between Senegal and Guinea-Bissau’ and was received by Wade in person. A month later, Senegal dispatched vehicles and military equipment to the Guinea-Bissau army. On that occasion, Na Wai stressed that he would ‘do everything to restore order and tranquillity at the border between [our] two countries’.

The clean-up revived

After the coups of 2003 and 2004 civil authority was restored to Bissau. A degree of international consensus was reached to normalise the situation, which in turn enabled the two former presidents, Yala and Vieira, to return to the political arena in the run-up to a new presidential election. Vieira relied for support on Guinea-Conakry, in particular its president Lansana Conté, who was a personal friend. In 2005 Conté welcomed senior Guinea-Bissau military figures to Conakry, to meet Vieira and his advisers. By the end of the discussions an agreement had been reached, albeit somewhat opaque; the Guinea-
Bissau military guaranteed Vieira’s safety, and it was under their protection and over the protests of civil transitional authorities that a military helicopter from Guinea-Conakry took him to Bissau in April 2005.

Dakar seemed to remain aloof from this manoeuvring, but understood its portent: although the Senegalese authorities continued to support Yala in the election (including his financial plan) they realised that they could have only limited success, bearing in mind Senegal’s loss of legitimacy in the eyes of the army, aid donors and indeed most of the Guinea-Bissau population. Vieira became a possible alternative protégé while a third plausible candidate, Malam Bacai Sanhá, who was supported by the FAIGC, still bore a stigma in the eyes of Dakar due to his past alliance with Mané. Ties were established between Wade and Vieira, with Casamance entrepreneur Pierre Atépa Goudiaby playing a central role in forging this new alliance. An important personality in the informal diplomatic game in West Africa, Goudiaby was an adviser to Wade and involved in the management of the Casamance situation. He was also a close relative of Vieira. All the evidence indicates that Vieira’s campaign benefited from material support from Senegal, and also that Wade and Goudiaby helped him obtain similar assistance from other African heads of state.

Linked to both Yala and Vieira as he was, Wade played a decisive role in the 2005 presidential elections. When Yala refused to accept third place in the first round of the election Wade sent his plane to collect him and persuaded him to accept the results and support Vieira, thus contributing to the latter’s victory. Soon after the election Vieira pushed his advantage and asked Dakar to expel Yala from Senegal. Wade then organised Yala’s exile to Morocco, a country very friendly to Senegal. Vieira said that he was ready to do everything in his power to settle the Casamance conflict, and the Senegalese press hailed him as ‘an asset for peace in Casamance’. The relationship between Wade and Vieira remained close until Wade’s death, and Vieira was one of eight heads of state who lobbied for Unesco’s Félix Houphouët-Boigny Peace Prize to be awarded to the Senegalese president in May 2006.

It followed that after the 2005 elections Senegal had two points of leverage in Bissau: the chief of staff and the president. Under Vieira, Senegal continued to support the Guinea-Bissau security forces by way of shipments of rice, military equipment and vehicles. Hence as the Senegalese presidential elections of 2007 drew near, Dakar was in a position to request a renewed effort from the Guinea-Bissau army. In January 2006 Guinea-Bissau established a committee to examine and analyse the Casamance crisis. Headed by Na Wai, it comprised about 10 officers, as well as the minister of internal administration, Ernesto Carvalho (who was close to Yala) and Minister of Defence Helder Proença. This committee was held responsible for ‘a programme of mediation between the Senegalese government and the MFDC’. In reality, it was the Guinea-Bissau army that launched a military offensive on 14 March 2006. Lieutenant-Colonel Antonio Injai, Na Wai’s nephew, took the reins of the so-called ‘Operation Clean-up’, still in partnership with Kassolol against Sadio. Just as in previous offensives Casamance refugees – some of them with ties to the separatist fighters – who had set up camp close to Sadio’s bases were chased back to Senegal and their houses burned. By 23 March more than 2 000 refugees had fled Guinea-Bissau. Mines laid by the separatists took their toll; military sources admitted to the death of 60 Guinea-Bissau soldiers.
This new offensive was criticised among civil society as well as by the parliamentary opposition in Bissau. Na Wai accused some deputies of ties with the MFDC; the ministry of the interior requested lifting the immunity of one deputy; and a former minister was detained for a week.\textsuperscript{38} Within this context Vieira continued to urge on the army.\textsuperscript{39} It barely needed encouragement, but his support clearly revealed Vieira’s sympathy with the Senegalese cause and his powerlessness when faced with an army that had a direct relationship with Senegal and over which, in reality, he could exercise little if any authority.

On 13 April 2006, the Guinea-Bissau chief of staff announced the fall of Sadio’s last remaining camp at Barraca Mandioca, although Sadio himself escaped capture, crossing Casamance to set up camp on the Gambian border. Confiscated equipment and documents were sent to the Senegalese authorities. Colonel Lassana Massaly, the deputy commander of operations, showed his zeal by claiming ‘the right to pursue Salif Sadio into Senegalese territory … to the border with The Gambia’.\textsuperscript{40}

Between 2000 and 2006, therefore, despite political upheavals and criticisms from civil society and the political classes in Bissau, the Guinea-Bissau army was involved in several attacks against Sadio, incurring significant losses. It thereby forced the remnants of the Kassolol separatists into an informal ceasefire with the Senegalese army. Bissau similarly supported meetings between Kassolol and mediators carefully selected by Senegal (such as the so-called ‘Wise Men of Casamance’ arbitration group).\textsuperscript{41} At very least, Bissau had rendered Dakar considerable services. Guinea-Bissau’s political struggles in 2009, however, dealt a new hand and Dakar had only limited success in trying to re-establish its links with Bissau.

THE AFTERMATH OF MARCH 2009: A BROKEN RELATIONSHIP

The violent deaths in March 2009 of Dakar’s two allies in Bissau, President Vieira and chief of staff Na Wai, transformed the relationship between the two countries. Suddenly deprived of its connections, Senegal became worried about the growing power of men with whom it had hardly any ties. It was not by chance that this phase coincided with a progressive renewal of tension on the Casamance front.

The events of March and June 2009

On 1 March 2009, Na Wai was killed in a bomb attack and on the same day Vieira was assassinated by the military, apparently because he was suspected of involvement in Na Wal’s death. The two murders are still the subject of speculation.\textsuperscript{42} The situation took another turn in June when two people close to Vieira – the former minister of defence Proença and Baciro Dabo, minister of territorial administration and a candidate in the presidential elections – were killed by men in uniform, and several others who had been close to Vieira were arrested. The chief of staff and the Gomes Júnior government then supported Dabo and Proença in preparing for a coup d’état by a coalition of former Vieira partisans, hinting at the involvement of Senegalese authorities because some of those close to Vieira had met in Dakar before the events. In that regard also the episode remained shrouded in mystery although one Guinea-Bissau opposition party openly condemned the manipulation of Gomes Júnior.
What is certain is that these episodes shook the relationship between Dakar and Bissau. The deaths of Na Wai, Vieira and Proença (who had played a central role in forging the relationship between Dakar and the Guinea-Bissau army), together with assertions of the apparent involvement of Gomes Júnior, represented a severe blow to Senegalese influence. Significantly, Wade decided not to attend Vieira’s funeral, citing security reasons.
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What is certain is that these episodes shook the relationship between Dakar and Bissau. The deaths of Na Wai, Vieira and Proença (who had played a central role in forging the relationship between Dakar and the Guinea-Bissau army), together with assertions of the apparent involvement of Gomes Júnior, represented a severe blow to Senegalese influence. Significantly, Wade decided not to attend Vieira’s funeral, citing security reasons. The Senegalese leadership, despite having reaped some benefits from it, despaired of the autonomy exercised by the Guinea-Bissau army, going so far as to call for the deployment of an Ecowas ‘supervisory force’, a suggestion turned down by Gomes Júnior and the army.

Gomes Júnior and Zamora Induta: suspicion and separatism

The death of Vieira led to the political rise of Gomes Júnior, a prosperous businessman with close ties to the former colonial power Portugal. Zamora Induta, the interim chief of staff before being confirmed in October 2009, was a close associate and when a young, intellectual officer who also enjoyed very close links with Portugal he had attracted attention as spokesman for the Mané junta.

A greater concern for Senegal was that the deaths of Na Wai and Vieira coincided with a reactivation of the southern front of the MFDC. While Dakar had always refused to negotiate with the military factions of the MFDC and stuck to a strategy of attrition, some moderate separatists again tried their luck on military terrain, this time without Na Wai to stop them. According to one source, some of the Guinea-Bissau military again resumed sales of weaponry to the separatists. Some Kassolol fighters ignored the ceasefire imposed by Na Wai and launched an unsuccessful attack towards Ziguinchor.

In January and February 2010, after several renewed attacks, the separatists were confined to the outskirts of Ziguinchor and by June of that year the split within Kassolol seemed final. Under the leadership of Ousmane Niantang Diatta a new base was established in the border zone to the south-east of Ziguinchor, but attacks increased. Meanwhile César Badiate, another MFDC faction leader, who controlled the border strip to the south and south-west of Ziguinchor, stuck to the ceasefire.

The approach of the power brokers in Bissau was rooted less in supporting MFDC than in the need to put an end to offensives that had proved costly in human lives. For its part Senegal saw the neutrality observed by the Guinea-Bissau army, now headed by Induta, in a poor light, because Dakar was suddenly deprived of a central tool in its management of the Casamance conflict.

Its suspicions were reciprocated, because the Guinea-Bissau authorities had been led to believe that Dakar may have favoured the alleged attempted coup d’état of June 2009. The relationship between Dakar and Bissau became increasingly complex. In March 2009, Induta had met with Gambian president Yahya Jammeh – whose difficult relationship with Dakar and ties with Sadio were well known – to sign a military co-operation agreement.

Following a border disagreement in the Varela zone in October 2009, Guinea-Bissau authorities reacted by deploying troops, and an illegal incursion of Senegalese fishermen into Guinea-Bissau waters resulted in swift reaction by Bissau. These incidents revealed a touchier and more independent Guinea-Bissau than before. Faced with this situation, Dakar sought new avenues to Bissau through Sanhà.
Sanhá: a new charter

At the outset Sanhá – formerly influential in the PAIGC and a supporter of the mutinies against Vieira during the war of 1998–1999, and the candidacy of Mané during the 2000 election – had not been an important ally for Dakar. Indeed it was he who had brought to light the alliance between Yala and Vieira that Senegal had encouraged during the 2005 elections.

Learning from his defeats in 2000 and 2005, however, Sanhá tried to draw closer to Senegal. In December 2005, during one of the impasses linked to Vieira’s manoeuvres, he went to Dakar to request the involvement of Wade, who he had then referred to as his ‘big brother’. On that occasion, he asked the MFDC to lay down their arms.49 With Vieira dead Senegal saw Sanhá as a fresh protégé, undoubtedly encouraged in its thinking by Proença, Botché Candé and Roberto Cacheu, Vieira’s allies within the PAIGC who, deprived of their leader, had become close to Sanhá.

The close relationship between Wade and Sanhá became well known; so much so that a rumour spread that Sanhá, although he was not known for his faith, began to adopt the same religious convictions as the Senegalese president. As the presidential elections of 2009 drew near Sanhá paid a visit to Senegal, during which he declared to the Senegalese media that Wade was his ‘father’ and ‘one of the most important figures in the contemporary history of Africa’. He then explained: ‘This is why I came in person to inform him of my candidacy … I am relying on his support. And if tomorrow I am elected president of the Republic, we will work together.’50

Senegalese advisers were dispatched to assist Sanhá and it seems that Senegal helped finance part of his powerful electoral campaign.51 His victory in the second round of the elections of 26 July 2009 was well received in Dakar. Wade travelled to Bissau for the swearing-in of his new ally on 8 September 2009, at a ceremony organised by a Senegalese communications agency.52 The Senegalese Press Agency also showed its support by praising the new president.53 By August 2009, Senegal had donated 100 tonnes of sugar, two cars and two buses to the Guinea-Bissau presidency. Iran, which at the time was a preferred diplomatic partner of Senegal and which Wade had put in contact with Sanhá, donated 20 cars that the presidency gave to ‘former fighters in the struggle for independence’.54 Until his death in January 2012 Sanhá, who suffered from chronic diabetes, was hospitalised regularly in Dakar, sometimes at the expense of the Senegalese state.55 Like Vieira, Sanhá was gracious in meeting his obligations as an ally of the Senegalese president; for example travelling to diplomatic events hosted by Wade.56

Towards a new balance?

After his election Sanhá contributed to an improved relationship between Dakar and Bissau. After negotiations following border tensions in October 2009, Guinea-Bissau troops withdrew. Sanhá feared excessive dependence on Senegal, however, and maintained his distance.57 Most importantly the presidency played a fairly limited role in Guinea-Bissau as prime minister Gomes Júnior, who was well regarded by donors and who controlled the PAIGC and the assembly, had greater influence than had Sanhá. Hence the revision of the co-operation agreement of 1975 between the two countries, proposed in February 2010 by Senegal, did not come about.58 Diatta’s separatist fighters continued
their activities and Senegal could no longer depend on the Guinea-Bissau army to attack the MFDC in retaliation.

The situation evolved somewhat with a political shake-up in Bissau in April 2010 that brought about the fall of Induta. General António Indjai, who had commanded the last assault against Sadio, was appointed chief of staff, which did nothing to help the situation. Weakened by the fall of Induta, Gomes Júnior took up the cudgels again and established a close relationship with Injai.° This new phase was initially marked by serious tension between the Sanhá and Gomes Júnior camps with charges and strenuous counter-accusations, but eventually led to a modus vivendi between the two parties under strong pressure from aid donors. Senegal seemed to have resigned itself to a loss of influence and tried to get closer to Gomes Júnior by offering diplomatic support. In February 2011, in a full diplomatic campaign to remobilise donors, Gomes Júnior made his first official trip to Dakar, meeting Wade and obtaining his support.°

Dakar also tried to reconnect with the Guinea-Bissau army. At the end of June 2011 the chiefs of staff of the two countries signed an agreement in Bissau, following which Guinea-Bissau became involved in ‘arresting all the rebels who had taken refuge on its territory’.° In turn, the Senegalese army undertook to train Guinea-Bissau soldiers and to contribute to the upgrading of the naval barracks in Bissau. In July 2011 Senegalese prime minister Souleymane Ndéné Ndiaye visited Bissau. In September of that year Senegalese and Guinea-Bissau commanders of the border zone met at Kolda. The meeting included a concert and a football match between the military corps of the two countries to ‘strengthen the brotherly and cordial relationship that unites the Senegalese and Guinea-Bissau armies’ and ended with an announcement of the establishment of joint patrols, which until then had been a dead issue.° Although such joint operations were far from marking a Guinea-Bissau offensive against continued operations by the Diatta fighters, in this new phase Dakar could at least count on a certain level of co-operation, although nothing more could be achieved in terms of establishing Guinea-Bissau as an ally and using its army to assist in the campaign against the MFDC.

ASPECTS OF THE ALLIANCE AND ITS DETERIORATION

The deep ties between the two countries, in particular their economic linkages, acted as a constraint on Bissau’s political options. Dakar, however, given the critical though discreet role Senegal had played in Guinea-Bissau’s political life after 2000, was not ready to surrender all its influence to Bissau. The internal dynamics of Guinea-Bissau held to their own logic and as of 2009 were refreshed by substantial changes in Guinea-Bissau’s international affairs. The growing influence of Angola was a major factor. At the end of the 2000s the Wade regime appeared barely capable of finding allies of any weight in Bissau but Luanda had established a close relationship with Gomes Júnior, breaking the ties that the Guinea-Bissau state apparatus and its army had previously forged with Dakar.

Senegal as an economic pole

Some commentators had depicted the Seventh of June War as an action against the joint forces of neo-liberal globalisation and la francophonie, driven by Senegal and the West
African Economic and Monetary Union (Waemu).63 Certainly, the violent events of 1998–1999 that followed Guinea-Bissau’s painful economic liberalisation in the 1980s might be subject to such an interpretation: the population welcomed Mané’s seizure of power not least because those years had been so difficult. Far from reversing the liberalisation trend, however, the decade following 2000 drew Guinea-Bissau further into the West Africa’s regional economy. The dynamic economy of Senegal was the most important single factor in this process.

The attraction for Guinea-Bissau of its Francophone neighbours was not new: ever since the colonial era the Senegalese economy has been the main driver of commercial and human traffic in the region. Although Portugal continued to be an important partner, Guinea-Bissau’s links with West Africa grew increasingly strong. Portugal had been Guinea-Bissau’s main supplier, providing 56.6% of the country’s imports in 1990 and 40.5% in 1994. By 2005, however, it was only the third-largest supplier, accounting for 12.7% of imports, far behind Senegal (34.6%) and Italy (20.4%).64 Senegalese businessmen, as well as commercial interests from other business communities connected with Senegal – Mauritania, Lebanon or Guinea-Conakry – came together in Bissau. The country’s entry into Waemu similarly contributed to the reworking of its banking profile: after the Seventh of June War there was no Portuguese bank in Bissau and by 2009 three of its four commercial banks (Ecobank, Banque Régionale de Solidarité and Banco da União) were West African.65

Its seaport and the Dakar international airport, its relatively large business enterprises and markets, as well as its universities and training centres, all went to make Senegal accessible, influential and attractive. Some businesses in Guinea-Bissau are branches of companies based in Dakar, the most important being telephone operator Orange, a branch of the Senegal-based Sonatel. A large number of technicians moved from Dakar to Bissau to work in telecommunications, information technology (IT) and the management of petroleum or port facilities.66 Students from Guinea-Bissau went to Dakar (and even studied in Casamance, where two universities were established in the second half of the past decade).67

This trend was even more remarkable given that Guinea-Bissau and Senegal, like many developing economies, found themselves between a rock and a hard place during the second half of the 1990s and most of the 2000s: Senegal experienced a period of exceptional growth while Guinea-Bissau moved from crisis to crisis.68 From 1995 to 2008 Guinea-Bissau’s gross domestic product was almost stagnant, with an average annual growth of 0.03%, whereas the Senegalese economy grew by 4.9% a year on average. The devastation of the Seventh of June War and the pillaging of Bissau exacerbated the situation in Guinea-Bissau, resulting in a flight of capital which benefited businessmen from neighbouring countries, with Senegal in the van. The degradation of Bissau’s port and its canal reinforced the role of the ports of Dakar and Ziguinchor in handling external trade with Guinea-Bissau, traffic further facilitated by bridges built on the Ziguinchor–Bissau route to João Landim (completed in 2004) and São Vicente (2010). The city of São Domingos on the border between Guinea-Bissau and Senegal was notable for its remarkable growth in the 2000s.69 Furthermore, for the young citizens of Guinea-Bissau, critical of their own national heritage,70 Senegal was in many ways a model country. The phrase ‘Dakar, little Paris!’ was often heard in Bissau and Senegalese immigrants became role models for many youngsters in Guinea-Bissau hoping to emigrate.
All this eventually boiled down to linguistics. Non-governmental organisations, banks and businesses based in Bissau increasingly demanded that their employees master French. Throughout Guinea-Bissau society the attraction of French was strong: the Alliance Française of Ziguinchor encouraged people from Guinea-Bissau to learn French and some high-level Guinea-Bissau military officers and politicians who did not already speak French, hastened to obtain the basics so that they could participate in West African diplomatic life. Wolof, the Senegalese lingua franca, also acquired a presence in Bissau – today it is possible to find youths in Guinea-Bissau who learnt the language without having left their country, through contacts with Senegalese businessmen, shop owners, carriers or traders there. It was the attraction of Senegal and West Africa, more than of France, that led to the rise of the French language in Guinea-Bissau.

This long-term trend certainly annoyed Lisbon. During the Seventh of June War, French military support to the Senegalese army was often seen by Portugal as evidence of a desire by France to extend its zone of influence using Senegal as a link, although French diplomats volunteered the view that France did not wish to become at odds with an important European partner such as Portugal just to protect the few interests it had in Guinea-Bissau. Indeed, although recognising that France remained close to Senegal, many Portuguese diplomats seemed to think that it was the latter that had strong ambitions in Guinea-Bissau. Senegal’s policy agenda was unwelcome in the circles supported by Lisbon, indicative of their clear sympathy for Gomes Junior, who from the Portuguese standpoint brought hope of stability and development. This view closely reflected that of Portuguese business interests.

**Early 2000s: strong relationship favours the Senegalese state**

Although by the early part of the past decade Senegal had become an economic and cultural centre of increasing importance to Guinea-Bissau, its influence initially was limited to the politics of personal patronage and protection that the Wade regime exercised over critical elements of the Guinea-Bissau state. The effectiveness of these policies was reinforced by the Senegalese government’s new prosperity, which gathered momentum in the 2000s. With international support (prompted by the transition to democracy in 2000) allied to economic growth, greater fiscal clout and reforms initiated by his predecessor, Wade stood to benefit from Senegal’s important natural resources and strong growth. In 2005 the Guinea-Bissau budget amounted to XOF 48.3 billion, of which 20.3 billion came from foreign aid, whereas the Senegalese budget was XOF 955.8 billion, only 75.6 billion of it in external donations.

While Guinea-Bissau was mired in instability and bad governance that discouraged external support, Senegal possessed sufficient resources to exercise considerable political influence. Testimony to this was its policy of military co-operation for the benefit of the Guinea-Bissau army, which at the time was in disarray. Dakar’s leverage in Bissau increased further with the difficult relationship between Guinea-Bissau authorities and aid donors during Yala’s incumbency as well as in the second Vieira presidency, which was marked by massive governmental instability. Senegal was able to assume the position of ‘big brother’ to Guinea-Bissau, defending it in the international arena and pleading with donors to support the country. More prosaically, Wade several times made available his personal aircraft to enable presidents Yala and Vieira to attend international meetings.
End of the 2000s: the turn to Angola

Although Senegal benefited from leverage in Bissau in the 2000s, it was initially successful only because Guinea-Bissau was diplomatically isolated and the Senegalese government’s resources were strong enough for it to exercise such influence. The situation changed progressively during the second half of the 2000s: Guinea-Bissau, which had been marginalised for some time, appeared on the international scene at the end of a series of crises that had led to a paradigm shift. At the same time Senegal was facing new difficulties. Dakar’s influence in Bissau was reduced and Angola, which had been involved in Guinea-Bissau from 2007, took over as Bissau’s main diplomatic partner in support of the political and military upheavals of April 2010.

Guinea-Bissau had been an international issue in the late 1990s but it was in the second half of the 2000s, after a degree of stability had returned to crisis-ridden Liberia, Côte d’Ivoire and Sierra Leone, that it became a cause for serious concern. The first signs of the real international attention to its domestic crises that brought Guinea-Bissau to the forefront came with the establishment of a genuinely West African programme for containing regional political instability. Senegal played its part in this process, becoming involved in the Guinea-Bissau issue through Ecowas. All the same, Dakar recovered some supplementary leverage because it could speak with the support of Ecowas and in particular of Nigerian president Obasanjo, with whom Wade had a good relationship. Nigerian petroleum income thus regularly made its contribution to supporting Ecowas actions in Guinea-Bissau, which strongly reflected Senegal’s concerns; nevertheless the arrival on the stage of new players did something to diminish Dakar’s influence.

This new interest in Bissau accelerated during the second half of the decade. The explosion of clandestine seaborne migration from West African coasts aroused the interest of the EU and in particular of Spain, a new player in Bissau. During the same period the trafficking of cocaine and of Guinea-Bissau children raised equally strong international attention. Al-Qaeda also made an appearance: it was in Bissau that Mauritanian jihadists were arrested in January 2008 after having assassinated French tourists in Mauritania. All these developments were interpreted by international institutions and Guinea-Bissau’s northern neighbour as symptomatic of of a failed state. As such, Guinea-Bissau would become a source of major risk (through drug trafficking, clandestine immigration and international terrorism) for the whole world. Accordingly in December 2007 it was registered in the programme of the UN Peacebuilding Commission, and the UN reinforced its presence. In 2009 it turned the UN Peacebuilding Support Office in Guinea-Bissau (Unogbis), established in 1999, into a fully representative office. In February 2008 the EU also became involved through a support programme for reform of Guinea-Bissau’s security sector.

The development of an international paradigm of strengthening rather than merely regulating African states was reinforced by a general strategic reclassification of Africa in the economic and diplomatic arena. Guinea-Bissau’s relatively under-exploited natural resources (bauxite, phosphates, petroleum, fisheries and forestry) aroused increasing interest. As it had done elsewhere in Africa, China added to its presence in Guinea-Bissau; similarly involved, with varying agendas, were South Africa, Brazil and Venezuela. Plans for establishing or reopening embassies in Bissau were further evidence of the reclassification.
Guinea-Bissau was less than enchanted with the international community, but by the end of the 2000s had attracted sufficient interest for the authorities in Bissau to diversify their diplomatic portfolio and also strengthen the state, in the sense that salaries could be paid, internal debt reimbursed, external debt renegotiated and the like. Bissau therefore depended less on Dakar than before. Guinea-Bissau’s budget increased significantly over very few years, from XOF 48 billion in 2005 to more than XOF 120 billion in 2009.75 Certainly, international activity served partly to internalise Senegalese concerns over Casamance, and the proliferation of traffic in weapons destined for the MFDC was seen by the international community as a symptom of the weakness of the Guinea-Bissau state— a weakness that it became involved in correcting. Senegal’s quasi-monopoly over Bissau had, however, been broken precisely at the time that Senegal was experiencing a relative weakening. Wade was using diplomacy for his own purposes, including promoting his controversial candidacy for a third presidential term, while budget growth was stagnating. Almost inevitably the balance of power was less favourable to Senegal in 2012 than it had been ten years earlier.

It was the involvement of Angola, however, that was the most significant factor. Calling on old ideological camaraderie as well as national diplomatic ambitions and economic and financial plans,76 Angola by the end of the 2000s had quickly became a major player on the Guinea-Bissau scene. Beyond taking a medium-term view of the mining sector, Luanda provided Guinea-Bissau with significant budgetary aid, as well as credit lines for the private sector. Luanda also proposed the construction of a deep water port, which would again bring Dakar and Ziguinchor to the forefront as points of transit for commerce between Guinea-Bissau and the wider West African region; it also protected Bissau in the international arena, for example by pleading its cause before the EU and the UN.

Finally, although Ecowas and the Community of Portuguese-Language Countries (CPLP), to which Angola belonged, collaborated after the events of April 2010 to try to stabilise Guinea-Bissau (together preparing a road map for the reform of the security sector), the relationship between the two blocs quickly soured. In September 2010 Luanda and Bissau signed a bilateral agreement for the provision of Angolan military and police assistance. In the same month, at an extraordinary Ecowas summit, Wade insisted on an envisaged stabilisation force, composed exclusively of soldiers from Ecowas member countries, to try to counter Angolan deployment.77 Sanhá and Wade had pleaded in vain since mid-2010 for an Ecowas intervention force, a proposal forcefully rejected by the Guinea-Bissau army, but Angola dispatched a small military contingent to Bissau in March 2011. Officially, the Angolan soldiers provided military co-operation for the benefit of the Guinea-Bissau army, but they were also guarantors of the civil power: during an attempted coup d’état in December 2011, Gomes Júnior took refuge in the Angolan embassy.

Angola, as protector and partner of Gomes Júnior, seemed now to have assumed the main leverage in Bissau. ‘Eh, Angola is so big,’ sang President Sanhá during the ceremony for accreditation of the Angolan military mission, taking up a famous Angolan song.78 Dakar tried to use its influence in Ecowas to add some weight, for example by using as leverage pension funds pledged through Ecowas but always held back pending the reform of the Guinea-Bissau army. Senegal appeared resigned to its diminishing influence, however: in the presidential election of March 2012 in the wake of Sanhá’s death in January, Dakar seemed to have accepted the likelihood of a victory of Gomes Júnior and did not follow up on requests for assistance from opponents seeking Senegalese support.79
Using a combination of tools similar to that of Dakar but with heavier resources to back it – diplomatic support, material aid and personal ties and patronage – Luanda also established a closer relationship with Gomes Júnior than with Injal, his chief of staff. It is an interesting question as to whether or not a new political scenario in Guinea-Bissau could enable Dakar to re-establish its influence; or whether, in the face of prolonged Angolan involvement, the aspirations of Guinea-Bissau in the Francophone and Senegalese arenas would be tempered, or indeed reversed through the magnetism of the Angolan pole. Already Guinea-Bissau immigrants, legal and illegal, have begun pressing towards Luanda.

CONCLUSION

A realistic analysis of the diplomatic game during Wade’s two presidential terms from 2000 to 2012 shows that Dakar played a firm and coherent part in influencing politics in Bissau. Wade’s savoir faire facilitated his involvement, even through changes of leadership in Bissau, in managing the Casamance crisis, which for decades had been one of Senegal’s core issues. Far from Bissau’s charge of Dakar being a pawn of France (or servant of a wave of *la francophonie*), Senegal played an independent game using France for linkage and support.

The politics of Senegal in Bissau, supported by lengthy but strong economic and cultural influences, were similar in some aspects to the relationships France maintained over the years with its African partners: military co-operation, access to care, diplomatic connections, and financing selected candidates during elections – all with the aim of making relationships of patronage through ‘familial’ relationships80 with carefully selected Guinea-Bissau stakeholders. Senegalese foreign policy is marked by a noteworthy trait in its diplomatic relationship with Francophone Africa: money that circulates informally between power brokers from one country to another (often to the benefit of the political opposition) and serves to finance election campaigns or regulate crises (eg failure to pay government salaries, gifting of military malcontents, and building consensus between political figures).81

This policy functions through informal, so called ‘back-channel’ paths such as the businessman Goudiaby, and through an extremely stable diplomatic corps, free from the administrative principle of periodic renewal.82 Finally, although it has limited resources, Senegal forms part of a vast international network and is making itself available as a diplomatic link with other potential partners (France, the EU and Ecowas, but also Nigeria, Equatorial Guinea, Libya and Iran), enabling it to deploy some weight in Bissau. This influence reached its peak in 2006 with the victorious offensive of the Guinea-Bissau army against Casamance’s chief rebel, Sadio.

Although Senegal played its diplomatic cards well enough, this policy worked only for a while. After the rapid phase of Wade’s first term (2000–2007), where Senegal enjoyed genuine growth and a concomitant increase in authority, his second term was marked by relative deterioration. The death in March 2009 of its two key Guinea-Bissau allies, Vieira and Na Wai, weakened Senegal’s influence. Finally, although Dakar remained influential in Bissau, Guinea-Bissau was very isolated at the end of the Seventh of June War. Ultimately, Wade knew how to use Guinea-Bissau’s marginalisation at the beginning of the 2000s to acquire influence but later turned his attention to the military regimes by
then in power in Mauritania and Guinea-Conakry: poorly regarded, marginalised and in search of recognition, they attracted the limited resources of Senegal under Wade, who could commit his influence and prestige to play the role of friend and mediator with the international community, for their benefit.

Bissau shook off its diplomatic isolation in the second half of the 2000s. Looking favourably on the political and military shake-up in Bissau in April 2010, Angola established itself as the new friend of Guinea-Bissau, forming a relationship as primary patron, with Gomes Júnior and Antonio Injai similarly favoured as the default option by the international community. Senegal continued to work its connections and alliances in Bissau, but could no longer exert the influence that it had exercised for so long.

**POSTSCRIPT**

This text was finalised at the beginning of March 2012, when Senegal and Guinea-Bissau were each organising presidential elections. On 25 March 2012 Wade was defeated in the second round of Senegalese elections by Macky Sall, one of his former prime ministers. A week earlier, on 18 March, Gomes Júnior, Guinea-Bissau's outgoing prime minister, won 49% of votes in the first round of that country's presidential elections. In Bissau, numerous military men and politicians feared that the probable margin of victory for Gomes Júnior would be insufficient for him to exercise sufficient personal authority to bring about necessary reforms while keeping the support of the international community – in particular Portugal and Angola – without sharing power. On 12 April 2012, encouraged by the blocking of the election by opponents who refused to validate the results of the first round, the military suspended the electoral process and arrested Gomes Júnior, whom they accused of having been involved with Angola in a plot against the national army. With the support of the main opposition parties and opponents of Gomes Júnior within the PAIGC, the military established transitional authorities that Gomes Júnior and the parliamentary majority PAIGC refused to recognise.

For Senegal, which seemed to have accepted its diminished influence subsequent to a Gomes Júnior victory and his favoured relationship with Angola, this new shake-up provided the opportunity to play a role once more. Along with Nigeria, Burkina Faso and Côte d’Ivoire – other Ecowas countries unhappy with Angola’s involvement in the West Africa region – Senegal supported the military’s action. Angola and Portugal, however, rejected it and advocated the restoration of Gomes Júnior’s authority and the continuation of the electoral process. Ecowas correctly stressed the need to take into account the power of the victorious putchists; it is clear to observers that several influential West African states, including Senegal, are happy to see Angola and its ally Gomes Júnior in trouble. The Gomes Júnior camp unhesitatingly accused Ecowas (or some of its members), in effect France, of having organised a coup d’État.

Under pressure from the Guinea-Bissau military, Angolan troops withdrew from Bissau and Ecowas deployed a 600-strong force which included a small Senegalese contingent. Thus, a little more than 10 years after Operation Gabou, Senegalese soldiers had once again set foot in Bissau, this time to be well received by the Guinea-Bissau army. In the international arena, allied with some key partners in Ecowas, Senegal was involved in
defending the Guinea-Bissau transitional authorities. It was to Dakar that the transitional
president, Manuel Serifo Nhamadjo, made his first diplomatic excursion on 14 June 2012.

The outcome of the transition is still uncertain, as the PAIGC, Gomes Júnior and their
international allies are rejecting all transitional measures. Although the authorities and
the Guinea-Bissau army have the country under proper control, they are diplomatically
isolated and require resources that Ecowas and Waemu cannot fully provide. The events,
however, validate the strategic importance of Bissau for Senegal, and the capacity of this
small country for diplomatic action – which it is able to support with its limited military
resources, using Ecowas as its channel.
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soldiers sent consumer goods pillaged in Bissau to Dakar and Conakry, to be later resold at low prices. Personal interview, former receiver, Bissau, October 2009.

13 Wade brought the Guinea-Bissau proportion of the potential income from 15% to 20%.


15 Some separatists attacked him for his authoritarianism, brutality, lack of consideration for civilians and his disregard for the movement’s political wing. In addition, like many MFDC militants, Sadio was a Muslim from Buluf, north of the Casamance river, and was accused of favouring his own people. From September 2000 there was factional violence between militants of the MFDC in Guinea-Bissau; Mané was involved in the release of Sadio followers arrested by the Guinea-Bissau authorities. Agence France-Presse (AFP), ‘Rebels in Casamance postpone meeting following internal strife’, 2 January 2001.


19 The Kassolol group thereafter limited its use of force to acting against interference from the Senegalese army and Casamance civilians in its zone of control.


21 Personal interview, member of National Transitional Council (CNT), Bissau, May 2008; and personal interview, African diplomat, Bissau, October 2009.

22 Author’s archives.


25 Interviews, Guinea-Bissau military, Bissau, October 2009.


29 There is at least one instance of Conakry’s support for the Guinea-Bissau army: a navy vessel from Conakry docked in the port of Bissau to offload 40 tonnes of rice, a fuel tanker and uniforms. See Pana, ‘Un navire de la Guinée Conakry coule au port de Bissau’, 4 October 2005. Some sources claim that senior military figures in Bissau provided funds and also offered to participate in transporting cocaine from Latin America to West Africa. Conté intimates, including a son, were involved. Interviews, persons close to Vieira, Dakar, May 2011; and interviews, European experts, Conakry, April 2011 and Dakar, January 2012.

30 Yala made his relationship with Wade a campaign issue, for example stating that if Yala were elected, Wade would provide $10 million to the Guinea-Bissau army. Lusa, ‘Guiné-Bissau: Kumba Ialá reassume-se como candidato às presidenciais’, 26 May 2005.


Pana, ‘2.178 réfugiés bissau-guinéens sont arrivés à Ziguinchor’, 23 March 2006

Pana, ‘Près de 60 soldats bissau-guinéens tués par le MFDC’, 1 April 2006.


Interview, African diplomat, Bissau, October 2009.

Interview, Bissau, October 2009.

Interview, ‘radical’ head of the Southern Front of the MFDC, Bissau, September 2009.


Sanhà took special care of his communications with the Francophone world: an office was established in Paris contributing numerous entries in Lettre de la Lusophonie in which Sanhà was invariably presented in a favourable light.


For example the Festival mondial des Arts nègres (Fesman) or welcoming Haitian students to Senegal after the earthquake in Haiti. Le Soleil, ‘Me Abdoulaye Wade aux etudiants haitiens – “Vous êtes chez vous en terre africaine du Senegal”’, http://www.lesoleil.sn, 14 October 2010; ‘Ouverture 3ème FESMAN: simplement grandiose!’, Le Point du Jour (Dakar), 11 December 2010.

Interview, former adviser to President Sanha, Bissau, 24 May 2012.


A Portuguese bank that retained 15% of the capital of Bissau’s fourth bank, Banco da África Ocidental, was sold in 2007 to Chinese businessman Stanley Ho, who operates from the former Portuguese colony of Macau. See Angola Press (Angop), ‘Guiné-Bissau: Actividade reduzida e integração na UEMOA deixa país fora da rede dos bancos portugueses’, 6 November 2009.

Interviews, Bissau, October 2009.


The author had an interview with an important member of the national assembly cut short because the latter had to attend his French lesson.


Dahou T & V Foucher, op. cit., p. 19.

The data used is from Banque de France, available at http://www.banque-france.fr/fr/eurosyst/zonefr/page2_2008.htm. For comparison purposes, Guinea-Bissau had 1.5 million inhabitants and Senegal almost 10 times more (13 million).


On the rise of Angola in Guinea-Bissau, see ICG, 2012, op. cit., p. 5–8.


Two of Gomes Júnior’s opponents, Serifo Nhamadjo and Afonso Tê, who claimed they were the political heirs respectively of Malam Bacai Sanha and Nino Vieira, went to Dakar during the electoral campaign, but seemingly had not been well received. Interview, high-level Senegalese officer close to the Guinean case, Dakar, April 2012.

Note that Malam Bacai Sanha was able to call himself the brother, then the son, of Abdoulaye Wade.


Unquestionably to avoid reviving memories of the Seventh of June War, Senegal deployed only non-combatant troops: an engineering unit and a medical unit.

It must be emphasised that Senegal asked France to provide transport for its men to Bissau.
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